UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,171	08/30/2006	Hideo Hashimoto	5024-0103PUS1	6043
	7590 09/24/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	WALDBAUM, SAMUEL A		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,171	HASHIMOTO, HIDEO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM	1792
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/30/</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the I	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/30/06, 6/10/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/591,171 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagel (U.S. 3,866,731, hereafter `731).

2. Claims 1, 8 and 9: `731 teaches a horizontal cylindrical washing machine (fig. 2) that has a door (fig. 1, part 3, where the door inherently seals the wash basket to keep the washing fluid in the machine). `731 teaches a wash cycle (col. 1, lines 10-20), hence it is inherent that the fluid is provided to the drum, in order to wash the laundry within the drum, where the clothes are placed inherently are placed in the inner rotatable basket of the washing machine (part 8, rotatable tub), where the tub is rotated at a low rate of speed to wash the clothes after they are placed in the tub (col. 1, lines 10-20), where it is inherent that the clothes are maintained at near-zero gravity in the tub since the method steps are exactly the same (col. 1, lines 10-20). `731 also teaches that the drum is rotated in one direction intermittently (col. 1, lines 10-20, states that the drum is reverses directions intermittently, thus operates in one direction intermittently) and a pressure change device (col. 3, lines 1-10, teaches uses of pumps and valves which changes the pressure of the liquid and the container).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,171 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagel (U.S. 3,866,731), in view of Parker (U.S. 1,641,780, hereafter `780).

`731 teaches all the limitations of claim 1.

5. Claims 2 and 3: `731 teaches that the drum is rotated at 60 rpm (col. 1, lines 10-20). `731 does not specify the dimensions (diameter) of the washing basket. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the diameter of the washing basket to allow the most laundry to be washed at one time under the conditions of the different mechanical components (pumps, water supply, electrical needs, motor), since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA).

Art Unit: 1792

'731 does not teach a waver pattern formed on the surface of the spin basket only the circumferential direction. '780 is a spin tubs for laundry. '780 teaches a sine wave structure in the circumferential direction of the spin basket (fig. 1, clearly shows that the spin basket has a sine waver structure form in the circumferential direction of the tub, where the protrusions are in the radial direction of the tub) thus the sine-waver structure/corrugations give a greater strength to the basket (col. 25-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have placed a sine-wave structure/corrugations taught by '780 mounted on the inner part of the spin basket of apparatus '731 to have strengthened the spin basket.

- 6. Claim 4: `731 teaches that the drum is reversed intermittently at a rate of 60 rpm (col. 1, lines 10-20).
- 7. Claims 5-7: '780 teaches that the sine-wave protrusions are parallel to the other protrusions at a constant interval (fig. 1). '731 in view of '780 does not set the dimensions of the protrusion with respect to the diameter of the tub. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to made the height of the protrusion 3.0-6.0% of the diameter of the tub since the higher the protrusions the more material would be required, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA).

It would have been obvious matter of design choice to have made the height of the protrusion 3.0-6.0% of the diameter of the tub, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,171 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM whose telephone number is

(571)270-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TR 6:20-3:50, F 6:30-10:30 est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. A. W./

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/FRANKIE L. STINSON/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792